

EcoEffect environmental impact assessment of **natural resource consumption** **(ANNEX Documents)**

Index

1 WEIGHTING ASPECTS	2
1.1 Weighting of weighting aspects	2
1.1.1 Weighting level I.	2
1.1.2 Weighting level II.	2
2 CATEGORY EQUIVALENCIES	3
3 RESOURCE FACTORS	5
3.1 Metals	5
3.2 Fuels	8
3.3 Minerals	11
3.4 Flora	14
4 DATABASE AND DATABASE SOURCES	17
4.1 EcoEffect Resources Values	17
4.2 EcoEffect Weighting Aspects Database	19
4.3 EcoEffect Resource Factors	20
5 REFERENCES	21

1 Weighting Aspects

1.1 Weighting of weighting aspects

1.1.1 Weighting level I.

Weighting between different aspects for Natural resource consumption: Extent, Seriousness and Recovery Potential.

I Which aspect is the most important for natural resource consumption regarding the accessibility of coming generation to use them as today? How much more important?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely SHORTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
extent					X					seriousness
extent					X					recovery potential
seriousness							X			recovery potential

1.1.2 Weighting level II.

Weighting between different aspects within the main weighting aspects (level I) for Natural resource consumption: supply horizon with exploitation rate of change, resource market value with accessibility rate of change and recovering energy rate with regeneration time.

II Which aspect is the most important for natural resource consumption regarding the accessibility of coming generation to use them as today? How much more important?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely SHORTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
supply horizon				X						exploitation change
market value					X					accessibility change
regeneration time				X						recovering energy rate

2 Category Equivalencies

1 Which resource has the shortest *supply horizon*? How much shorter is it?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely SHORTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper					X					oil
28,5										40
copper		X								sand
28,5										L
copper			X							wood
28,5										330

2 Which resource is the fastest exploited? How much faster is it?

ref: *Exploitation change over the last 10 years*

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper					X					oil
3,6										1,3
copper					X					sand
3,6										4
copper			X							wood
3,6										0,8

3 For which resource has the yearly extraction the largest market value? How much larger?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely LARGER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper								X		oil
16										302,25
copper						X				sand
16										50
copper							X			wood
16										181,7

- 4** For which resource has the accessibility been reduced fastest? How much faster?

ref: embodied energy rate of change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper										oil
copper										sand
copper										wood

- 5** Which natural resource needs more time to regenerate? How much more time?

ref: years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely more TIME

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper					X					oil
EL										EL
copper					X					sand
EL										EL
copper	X									wood
EL										70

- 6** Which resource needs more energy to regenerate? How much more?

ref: recovering energy/embodied energy

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely much MORE

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper										X oil
14										EL
copper									X	sand
14										EL
copper						X				wood
14										1

3 Resource Factors

3.1 Metals

1 Which resource has the shortest *supply horizon*? How much shorter is it?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely SHORTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper			X							aluminium
28										196
copper		X								chromium
28										300
copper				X						iron
28										117
copper					X					lead
28										23
copper					X					nickel
28										37
copper						X				silver
28										18
copper					X					tin
28										39
copper					X					zinc
28										25

2 Which resource is the fastest exploited? How much faster is it?

Ref: Exploitation change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper					X					aluminium
3,6										5
copper					X					chromium
3,6										-1,1
copper					X					iron
3,6										0,9
copper					X					lead
3,6										-0,7
copper					X					nickel
3,6										2,5
copper					X					silver
3,6										0,6
copper					X					tin
3,6										2,5
copper					X					zinc
3,6										4,8

3 For which resource has the yearly extraction the largest market value? How much larger?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely LARGER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper					X					aluminium
16										25,6
copper					X					chromium
16										1,1
copper							X			iron
16										412
copper					X					lead
16										1,4
copper					X					nickel
16										4,3
copper					X					silver
16										2,4
copper					X					tin
16										1
copper					X					zinc
16										7

- 4** For which resource has the accessibility been reduced fastest? How much faster?

ref: embodied energy rate of change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper										aluminium
copper										chromium
copper										iron
copper										lead
copper										nickel
copper										silver
copper										tin
copper										zinc

- 5** Which natural resource needs more time to regenerate? How much more time?

ref: years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely more TIME

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper					X					aluminium
EL										EL
copper					X					chromium
EL										EL
copper					X					iron
EL										EL
copper					X					lead
EL										EL
copper					X					nickel
EL										EL
copper					X					silver
EL										EL
copper					X					tin
EL										EL
copper					X					zinc
EL										EL

- 6** Which resource needs more energy to regenerate? How much more?
ref: recovering energy/embodied energy

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely much MORE

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
copper					X					aluminium
14										4,6
copper						X				chromium
14										16
copper							X			iron
14										25
copper										lead
14										
copper					X					nickel
14										12,5
copper										silver
14										
copper										tin
14										
copper							X			zinc
14										27,5

3.2 Fuels

- 1** Which resource has the shortest *supply horizon*? How much shorter is it?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely SHORTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
oil				X						coal
40										172
oil						X				natural gas
40										63
oil				X						peat
40										200
oil					X					uranium
40										90
oil	X									wood-fuels
40										EL

2 Which resource is the fastest exploited? How much faster is it?

Ref: Exploitation change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
oil						X				coal
1,3										5,4
oil							X			natural gas
1,3										17
oil					X					peat
1,3										0,7
oil				X						uranium
1,3										-11
oil							X			wood-fuels
1,3										40

3 For which resource has the yearly extraction the largest market value? How much larger?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely LARGER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
oil		X								coal
302										41
oil			X							natural gas
302										62
oil	X									peat
302										0,6
oil	X									uranium
302										0,4
oil	X									wood-fuels
302										0,17

- 4** For which resource has the accessibility been reduced fastest? How much faster?

ref: embodied energy rate of change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
oil										coal
oil										natural gas
oil										peat
oil										uranium
oil										wood-fuels

- 5** Which natural resource needs more time to regenerate? How much more time?

ref: years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
oil					X					coal
EL										EL
oil					X					natural gas
EL										EL
oil					X					peat
EL										EL
oil					X					uranium
EL										EL
oil	X									wood-fuels
EL										70

- 6** Which resource needs more energy to regenerate? How much more?
ref: recovering energy/embodied energy

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely much MORE

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
oil					X					coal
EL										EL
oil					X					natural gas
EL										EL
oil					X					peat
EL										EL
oil					X					uranium
EL										EL
oil	X									wood-fuels
EL										1

3.3 Minerals

- 1** Which resource has the shortest *supply horizon*? How much shorter is it?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely SHORTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
sand					X					cement
L										EL
sand					X					clay
L										EL
sand										granite
L										
sand					X					gravel
L										L
sand					X					gypsum
L										L
sand										marble
L										
sand									X	phosphate rock
L										83

- 2** Which resource is the fastest exploited? How much faster is it?
Ref: Exploitation change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
sand					X					cement
4										0,1
sand					X					clay
4										1,8
sand										granite
4										
sand					X					gravel
4										4
sand					X					gypsum
4										0,3
sand										marble
4										
sand					X					phosphate rock
4										2,25

- 3** For which resource has the yearly extraction the largest market value? How much larger?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely LARGER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
sand					X					cement
50										111
sand					X					clay
50										17
sand										granite
50										
sand					X					gravel
50										50
sand					X					gypsum
50										0,7
sand										marble
50										
sand					X					phosphate rock
50										3,2

4 For which resource has the accessibility been reduced fastest? How much faster?

ref: embodied energy rate of change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
sand										cement
sand										clay
sand										granite
sand										gravel
sand										gypsum
sand										marble
sand										phosphate rock

5 Which natural resource needs more time to regenerate? How much more time?

ref: years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
sand					X					cement
EL										EL
sand					X					clay
EL										EL
sand					X					granite
EL										EL
sand					X					gravel
EL										EL
sand					X					gypsum
EL										EL
sand					X					marble
EL										EL
sand										phosphate rock
EL					X					EL

- 6** Which resource needs more energy to regenerate? How much more?
ref: recovering energy/embodied energy

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely much MORE

sand	X	cement
EL		EL
sand	X	clay
EL		EL
sand	X	granite
EL		EL
sand	X	gravel
EL		EL
sand	X	gypsum
EL		EL
sand	X	marble
EL		EL
sand	X	phosphate rock
EL		EL

3.4 Flora

- 1** Which resource has the shortest *supply horizon*? How much shorter is it?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely SHORTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E
wood									cork
330									
wood									straw
330									
wood				X					wood (Sweden)
330									EL

- 2** Which resource is the fastest exploited? How much faster is it?

Ref: Exploitation change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E
wood									cork
0,8									
wood									straw
0,8									
wood					X				wood (Sweden)
0,8									8

3 For which resource has the yearly extraction the largest market value? How much larger?

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely LARGER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
wood										cork
181,7										
wood										straw
181,7										
wood										wood (Sweden)
181,7										181,7

4 For which resource has the accessibility been reduced fastest? How much faster?

ref: embodied energy rate of change over the last 10 years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
wood										cork
wood										straw
wood										wood (Sweden)

5 Which natural resource needs more time to regenerate? How much more time?

ref: years

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely FASTER

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
wood										cork
70										
wood										straw
70										
wood										wood (Sweden)
70										70

6 Which resource needs more energy to regenerate? How much more?
ref: recovering energy/embodied energy

Eq= Equally, m = moderate, M = Much, V = Very much, E = Extremely much MORE

	E	V	M	m	Eq	m	M	V	E	
wood										cork
1										
wood										straw
1										
wood										wood (Sweden)
1										1

4 Database and Database Sources

4.1 EcoEffect Resources Values

	Total Reserves	ref	Yearly Exploitat	ref	Yearly Growth	ref	Embodied Energy	ref	Recover. Energy (%)	ref	Regenerat Time	ref	Res Market price	ref
METALS														
Copper	90				9 4,1									\$/Tn
	97	320	30		11,3 30		-		3,16(16,6%)	31	EL		1414	52
Alumin *	90				17,95 1		-							
	97	23000*	30		21,2 30		-		5 (4,65 %)	31	EL		1210	52
Cadmium	90				20,2 35		-				EL			2205 30
	97	0,530	30		0,019 35		-							
Chromium	90				12,96 42		-				EL			97,5 30
	97	3600	30		12 30		-		10,15(16,6%)	31	EL			
Cobalt	92				0,02794 37		-				EL			23 30
	97	4	30		0,027 30		-							
Iron	96				1020 30		-				EL			
	97	167000	30		1030 30		-		22,05 48	5,51 (25%)	31	EL		400 30
Lead	90				2,92 30		-				EL			480 52
	97	65	30		2,9 30		-		16 46					
Mercury	96				0,00289 30		-				EL			
	97	0,13	30		0,003 30		-						200(\$/flask)	30
Nickel	90				0,918 30		-				EL			3955 52
	97	40	30		1,08 30		-		79,29 36	9,91(12,5%)	31	EL		
Silver	96				15,2 30		-				EL			491 (Sc/Oz) 52
	97	0,28	30		15,3 30		-			0,23 65	EL			158052 52
Tin	90				0,196 30		-							5090 52
	97	7,7	30		0,201 30		-							
Zinc	90				7,44 30		-			(27,5%)	EL			899 52
	97	190	30		7,8 30		-							
FUELS														
Oil	90	137	12		3450 12		-				EL			
	98				27557,5 54		-		4,47 23		EL		10,98 (\$/bar)	52
Bio-fuels	90													
	95													
Coal	90	501195	1		1029,1		-				EL			37,8 54
	97				1088,4		-		2,53 23		EL			
Natural gas	90	98812,5	1		1581 1		-				EL			3,53(\$/10 ³ cf) 54
					24,3(10 ¹² cf) 54		-		5,35 23		EL			
Peat	96				25,8 30		-				EL			26 30
	97	5200	30		26 30		-				EL			
Uranium	92				37		-		0,124 (U308)		EL			11000 56
					31,64 45		-		146 60		EL			
Wood-fuels	90				10,2(Twh) 26				0,37 24		1			
	95	50-60	43		20,7(Twh) 27								15 (\$/MWh)	66
					(Twh)									

	Total Reserves	ref	Yearly Exploitat	ref	Yearly Growth	ref	Embodied Energy	ref	Recover. Energy (%)	ref	Regenerat Time	ref	Res Market price	ref	
MINERALS															
Sand	96		>>>1031	30		-									
	97	L	30	>>>1076	30	-		05	50	EL	EL		18,1	30	
Cement	96		1485	30		-									
	97	EL	30	1500	30	-		3,02	53	EL	EL		74,5	30	
Clay	96		43100	30		-									
	97	EL	30	43900	30	-		0,288	53	EL	EL		40	30	
Gravel	96		>>>1031	30		-									
	97	L	30	>>>1076	30	-		0,00625	32	EL	EL		18,1	30	
Granite		L	30			-									
Gypsum	96		99,7	30		-									
	97	L	30	100	30	-		2	32	EL	EL		7,1	30	
Marble															
Phosphate	96		133	30		-							43	61	
	97	11000	30	136	30	-		0,105	39	EL	EL		23,7	30	
FLORA															
Wood	80														
	90	5120,2	19	3430	1			0,22	2	0,22 (1%)	3	70		53,5	67
Cork															
Straw															
Wood (Swe)	70														
	90														
	93	2776	3	65	8	100	8	0,137	3	0,22 (1%)	3	70		53,5	67

z:\reports\datafor1.xls

Table 5.3.1. Database Values for resources operated in the EcoEffect '98 (version 981231)

4.2 EcoEffect Weighting Aspects Database

	Supply Horizon ref	[]	Resource Significan. ref	[]	Accessibil. ref	[]	Exploitat. Change Rate ref	[]	Recover. Energy Rate ref	[]	Regenerat Time ref	[]
METALS												
Copper	o	28,5 (0,035)	Ee	15,97	52	19	2	3,6	Ee	14	32,40	EL Ee
Alumin.	o	196 (0,0051)	1	25,65	52	107	2	5	Ee	4,65	31	EL Ee
Cadmium		27,17 (0,0368)	Ee	0,042	Ee			0	Ee			EL Ee
Chromium	o	303 (0,0033)	Ee	1,17	Ee	61,2	42	0	Ee	16,6	31	EL Ee
Cobalt		148 (0,00675)	Ee	1,37	Ee			0	Ee			EL Ee
Iron	o	117 (0,0085)	1	412	Ee	22,05	48	1	Ee	25	31	EL Ee
Lead	o	22,5 (0,0446)	Ee	13,92	52	16	46	0	Ee			EL Ee
Mercury		43,5 (0,023)	Ee					3,8	Ee			EL Ee
Nickel	o	37 (0,027)	Ee	4,27	52	79,29	36	2,5	Ee	12,5	31	EL Ee
Silver	o	18,51 (0,054)	Ee	2,41	52			0,65				EL Ee
Tin	o	38,5 (0,0261)	Ee	1,02	52			2,5	Ee			EL Ee
Zinc	o	24,5 (0,041)	Ee	7,01	52	29,6	32	4,8	Ee	27,5	31	EL Ee
FUELS												
Oil	o	40 (0,025)	Ee	302,58	52,54	4,47	23	1,3	54	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Bio-fuels									Ee			
Coal	o	172 (0,0058)	1	41,17	Ee	2,53	23	5,4		>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Natural gas	o	62,5 (0,016)	1	43,74	Ee	5,35	23	17	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Peat		200 (0,005)	Ee	0,6				0,7	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Uranium	o	90 (0,0111)	51	0,4	Ee	0,146	57	-11	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Wood-fuels	o		EL (-0,008285)	0,172	Ee	0,37	24	40	Ee	1	Ee	1 Ee
MINERALS												
Sand	o	L	Ee	>>>5	Ee	0,5	50	4	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Cement	o	EL	Ee	111,75	Ee	4,699	53	0,1	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Clay	o	EL	Ee	>>>1,72	Ee	0,288	Ee,53	1,8	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Gravel	o	L	Ee	>>>5	Ee	0,00625	32	4	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Granite										>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Gypsum	o	L	Ee	0,71	Ee	2	32	0,3	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
Marble												EL Ee
Phosphate	o	83,3 (0,012)	Ee	3,22	Ee	0,105	39	2,25	Ee	>>>1	Ee	EL Ee
FLORA												
Wood	o	333 (0,003)	1	181,7	Ee	0,22	3	0,8	8	1	Ee	70 3
Cork												
Straw												
Wood (Swe)	o	EL (-0,008285)	Ee	181,7(3,7)	Ee	0,142	3	8	3,8	1	Ee	70 3

Table 5.3.2. Weighting Aspects Database for resources operated in the EcoEffect '98 (version 981231)

4.3 EcoEffect Resource Factors

RESOURCE FACTOR			
Reference Category	METALS	rf	Resource Factor RF
Category Reference	Copper	1	1
	Aluminium	0,59	0,59
	Cadmium		
	Chromium	0,33	0,33
	Cobalt		
	Iron	0,68	0,68
	Lead	1	1
	Nickel	0,67	0,67
	silver		
	tin		
	Zinc	1,04	1,04
FUELS		rf	Resource Factor RF
Category Reference	Oil	1	0,73
	Coal	0,49	0,35
	Natural Gas	0,71	0,52
	Peat	0,35	0,25
	Uranium	0,408	0,3
	Wood-fuels	0,23	0,17
MINERALS		rf	Resource Factor RF
Category Reference	Sand	1	0,6
	Cement	0,77	0,46
	Clay	0,58	0,35
	Granite		
	Gravel	1	0,6
	Gypsum	0,72	0,43
	Marble		
	Phosphate	1,60	0,95
FLORA		rf	Resource Factor RF
Category Reference	Wood (World)	1	0,38
	Cork		
	Straw		
	Wood (Sweden)	0,62	0,23

5 References

- [1] - Environmental assessment of products. Wenzel H, Hauschild M, Rasmussen E. 1997.
- [2] - Nordisk miljövärdering av byggnader. Utsläppsdata. BMG-KTH, etc. Feb. 1998
- [3] - Environmental data for building materials in the Nordic countries. TemaNord 1995:577. Nordic Council of Ministers.
- [4] - USGS-Minerals Information. US Geological Survey. Mineral Resources Program. 1998
- [5] - Environmental Assessment of Building Components. Erlandsson, M. 1994
- [6] - Reducing the Embodied Energy of Buildings. Mumma T. Home energy Magazine. Jan/Feb. 1995
- [7] - Australian Bureau of Resource Economics. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 1997.
- [8] - The Natural Environment in Figures. SCB Statistics Sweden. 1996
- [9] - EAB: Resource Consumption and Depletion Factor. Romero, M A. BMG-KTH 980408
- [10] - EAB: Depletion Factor. Weighting. Romero, M. A. BMG-KTH 980531
- [11] - EAB: AHP Application for weighting of depletion. Romero, M. A. BMG-KTH 980710
- [12] - Energy for tomorrow's world. WEC-World Energy Council. 1993.
- [13] - World Crude Steel Production. Industry and Technology Division. UN/ECE. United Nations. Jan 1997.
- [14] - International Statistics Database. US Environmental Information Agency. 1998.
- [15] - Conversion to Metric System. US Environmental Information Agency. 1998.
- [16] - World Population: 1950-2050. World Population Information. International Data Base. US Bureau of the Census. June 1998.
- [17] - LCA of Building Frame Structures. Environmental Impact over the Life Cycle of Concrete and Steel Frames. Björklund T, Jönsson Å, Tillman A-M. Report 1996:8. Chalmers University of Technology.
- [18] - External environmental impact of buildings. Glaumann M, Trinius W. Building and environment. Second International conference, June 9-12 1997, Paris. Proceedings Vol. 1. CSTB Paris
- [19] - Analytic Hierarchy Process. Saaty T. L. Expert Choice Inc. TASC. Pittsburgh, USA
- [20] - Agripedia Glossary. Porter L.T. College of Agriculture. University of Kentucky.
- [21] - Glossary.....
- [22] - Miljövärdering av Byggnader. Bosch U, Folkesdotter G. BMG-KTH. Jan. 1998.
- [23] - Environmental assessment of products - Database. Wenzel H, Hauschild M, Rasmussen E. 1996.
- [24] - Svensk Gastekniskt Centrum.
- [25] - NUTEK Data 1993

- [26] - NUTEK Statistics 1995
- [27] - Kortsiktprognos 960305. NUTEK
- [28] - SETAC's working group on the impact assessment phase of the LCA, Udo de Haes, September 1996
- [29]"Handbog i Miljöriktig Projektering MILJÖDATA" (table 18)
- [30] "Minerals commodity Summaries 1998". US Geological Survey, January 1998.
- [31] "Biff och Bil? - Om hushållens miljöval" Anita Linell, Naturvårdsverket, Report 4542, 1996
- [32]"LCA in Construction Decision Support Tool" IISI, June 1998.
- [33] "Iron Ore: Energy, Labour, and Capital changes with technology" Kakela, Peter J. Science, Nr. 4373, 12-15-78, Volume 202.
- [34] "Energy and Environmental profile of the US Aluminium Industry" Energetics, Inc., Department of Energy. Office of Industries Technologies. July 1997.
- [35] BOM, US Geological Survey
- [36] "Energy swings at Nickel" Blanco, J.L. and B.M. Holliday, Journal of Metals (NY), v. 33, p. 50-51, April, 1981.
- [37] "Mineral Year Book", 1997, 1994. US Geological Survey.
- [38] "An assessment of energy requirements in proven new cooper processes" US Department of Energy, 1980.

- [39] "Energy Consumption in the US Mineral and Primary Metal Industry" Nilsson, D., E&M Journal, Dec. 1996.
- [40] "Metal Production and Greenhouse Gases" Themelis, N.J., and Wernick, I.K., Columbia University (NY).
- [41] "Pellets in Sweden" Nutek, Altener. 1997.
- [42] "chromium Life Cycle Study" IC 9411- Bureau of Mines. US Department of the Interior. 1994
- [43]" Vattenfall Skog Bränsle och miljö"
- [44] Ian Burrel 981105. International Lead and Zinc Study Group.
- [45] Canadian Mineral Year Book. 1992
- [46] A. M. Bush 981117. Lead Development Association International.
- [47] "Koppar i samhälle och miljö. En faktaredovisning av flöden, mängder och effekter i Sverige" L. Landner, L. Lindenström. Miljö Forskargrupp. June, 1998.
- [48] "Technology Partnerships, Enhancing the competitiveness, efficiency and Environmental Quality of American Industry. Office of Industrial Technology. US Department of Energy. 4/1995
- [49] "Handboken Bygg" H. Lantz, M. Lorensten, and others. Liberförlag Stockholm. 1983.
- [50] "The Fourth House: A proposal for a hot humid climate" P. La Roche, Institute of researches. Faculty of Architecture. University of Zulia. Maracaibo, Venezuela.
- [51]"Värdering av Fosfor och uran – baserat på UMIP´s ekvation" M. Erlandsson. 980406
- [52]Dagens Nyheter. 990107
- [53] BEES 1.0 Building for Environmental and Economic Sustainability. Technical Manual and User Guide. B. Lippiatt. April, 1998. US Department of Commerce.
- [54]"Short Term Energy Outlook" US Energy Information Administration. April, 1997.
- [55] "Base de Precios de la Construcción de Castilla y Leon 1998" ICCyL, January 1998.

- [56] "Energy Outlook 1998" US Energy Information Administration. January, 1999.
- [57]"El & Miljö. Livscykelanalys för vattenfalls elproduktion" Bränström-Norberg B.M. (and others) Vattenfall, 1996.
- [58] "Träbränsle. Teknikläget i dag" Statens energiverket., 1987.
- [59] "Energy and Packaging" Boustead I., Hancock G.F.; EH Publishers, 1985.
- [60] Ranger uranium mine. Hore-Lacy, I.; Uranium Information Centre, 1999. Melbourne, Australia.
- [61] Stewart K.; Institute for Fosphate Research, 1999. USA
- [62]Szymanski W.; US Energy Information Agency. 1999
- [63] "Commercial Nuclear Fuel from US and Russian Surplus Defence Inventories: Materials. Policies, and Market Effects"
- [64] " Challenges of Electric power industry Restructuring for Fuel Suppliers"
- [65] "Elektronisk Återvinnning i Uppsala" 1997
- [66] Malmqvist, C.; Energiverket i Gävle, 1999.